

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | ······································ | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|--|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/659,260 | 09/11/2003 | Masaru Akiyama | Q77433 | 3538 |
| 65565 SUGHRUE-26 | 7590 05/22/2007 5550 | • | EXAMINER | |
| 2100 PENNSY | LVANIA AVE. NW | | KRAUSE, JUSTIN MITCHELL | |
| WASHINGTO | N, DC 20037-3213 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3682 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/22/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---|--------------------------|---------|--|--|
| | | 10/659,260 | AKIYAMA, MASARU | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | • | Justin Krause | 3682 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence addres | 'S | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | • | | |
| 1)🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M | arch 2007. | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowar | this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)🛛 | Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-6</u> is/are withdrawn f | from consideration. | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)🖾 | Claim(s) 1,2 and 7 is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | |
| 10)🛛 | The drawing(s) filed on <u>3/26/07</u> is/are: a)⊠ acc | cepted or b) objected to by the | Examiner. | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | ected to. See 37 CFR 1. | 121(d). | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-1 | 52. | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | ••• | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | | ed in this National Stag | ge | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | • | | | |
| | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa | | | | |
| · — | r No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/659,260

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Teramachi (US Patent 6,210,039).

Teramachi discloses a linear guide device comprising:

- -a guide rail (1) extending in an axial direction and having a first raceway groove (51,52,53,54) extending in the axial direction
- -a slider (4) having a second raceway groove (61,62,63,64) opposed to said first raceway groove and supported by the guide rail, movable with a plurality of rolling elements

-the ball diameter ratio Dg/Dw is set in a range of 0.33-0.5

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,260

Art Unit: 3682

4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Teramachi in view of Okita et al (US Patent 6,620,262).

Teramachi discloses all of the claimed subject matter as described above but does not disclose removal of a decarburized layer.

Okita teaches that it is a known problem for a decarburized layer forming on bearing races after forming processes, such as hot rolling, and that the decarburized surface layer is softer than the hardness of the remainder of the bearing. If this layer not removed, the capabilities of the bearing such as life and abrasion resistance may be deteriorated. It is a known process to remove the decarburized layer by turning or grinding after the forming process. (Col 2, line 66-Col 4, line 3)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the process of removing the decarburized layer from a hot rolled bearing raceway as taught by Okita, the motivation would have been to prevent loss of bearing life and deteriorated abrasion resistance that is caused by leaving the decarburized layer intact.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Teramachi as applied to claim 1 above, in view of Tanaka (US Patent 5,123,754).

Teramachi does not disclose the first or second raceway groove shaped as a gothic arch.

Tanaka teaches a raceway (2 or 5) with a gothic arch cross section fore the purpose of increasing load bearing capability in either the horizontal or vertical direction

Application/Control Number: 10/659,260

Art Unit: 3682

(col 2, line 3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Tanaka to include a gothic arch shaped raceway for the desired purpose of increasing load bearing capability as taught by Tanaka.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 26, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues Teramachi sets out a broad range which does not disclose any specific point within the Applicant's claimed range.

The examiner disagrees that Teramachi's claimed range is broad. It sets out a specific desired range of values with which the invention operates optimally. Teramachi is seeking to eliminate error (Col 1, line 63-Col 2, line 14), and for better supporting loads (col 2, line 55-67). The present invention operates within a similar range, also for the purpose of optimizing performance (specification page 5, lines 3-10).

Additionally, Applicant has also failed to provide any evidence that the present invention's claimed range would produce any unexpected results which would render the present invention non-obvious over the invention of Teramachi (MPEP 2131.03).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 3682

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin Krause whose telephone number is 571-272-3012. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on 571-272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JUNE 5/14/07 JMK

Thomas R. Hannon
Primary Examiner